

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/162,	402 09/2E	/98 MCNALLY		R	M0971/7001	
	OM12/1010 SHLESINGER ARKWRIGHT & GARVEY LLP 3000 SOUTH EADS STREET				EXAMINER		
					NGUYEN, B		
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER] 15
	ARLINGTON VA 22202				3713		
					DATE MAILED:	10/10/01	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	.,					
í		09/162,402		MCNALLY ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Binh-An D. Nguy	en	3713						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			orrespondence addres						
THE I - Exter after - If the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w	36(a). In no event, howe	over, may a reply be tim	nety filed s will be considered timely.	unication.					
- Anyr	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
2a)□		— · is action is non-fi	nal							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to the m	erits is					
9,0	closed in accordance with the practice under									
Disposition of Claims										
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $\underline{1-66}$ is/are pending in the application									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are rejected.									
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ment.							
Applicati	on Papers									
9) 🔲 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.								
10) 🗌 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		-							
44\[Applicant may not request that any objection to the									
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.						
12)[] :	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	uon.							
Ò	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	animer.								
		n priority under 35	SIISC & 110/a)-(d) or (f)						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
٠,١	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			on No.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	rity documents ha	ive been receive		ge					
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		•							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment	` '									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15						

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The request for Continued Prosecution Application filed in Paper No. 9, April 2, 2001, the request for reconsideration of missing Preliminary Amendment (claims 61-66) filed in Paper No. 14, July 23, 2001, and the Amendment filed in Paper No. 13 have been received. According to Paper No. 13, claims 1, 2, 5-7, 14, 15, 27, 41, 46, 47, and 53-55 have been amended. Currently, claims 1-66 are pending in this application.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 61 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as being claimed as single method step. See In re Hyatt below.
- 4. A single means claim, i.e., where a means recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A single means claim which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor.). When claims depend on a recited property, a fact situation comparable to Hyatt is possible, where the claim covers every conceivable structure (means) for achieving the stated property (result) while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor. 2164.08(b)
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/162,402 Page 3

Art Unit: 3713

6. Claims 61 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: identifying user's data input and processing data prior to displaying football game information.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-66, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensen ("Offense off to a flying start" by Sean Jensen, The Boston Globe, September 1, 1997) in view of Shur et al. (5,636,920).

Jensen teaches an article of manufacturing (the newspaper) which report drives of a football game with charts comprising: a substrate (newspaper); a plurality of symbols (arrows, lines, and dashes) representing different plays; a plurality of parallel lines, rendered on the substrate; a plurality of line segments; illustrating different plays and quarters, each of the play can be distinguished from other plays (drives and punts). See charts. Jensen does not explicitly teach symbols identifying player involving of responsible for moving the ball in the field during each of the play; an apparatus for rendering a diagram represent a football game; user inputting data. Shur et al. teach sports team organizer comprising symbols identifying player involving of responsible for

Application/Control Number: 09/162,402

Art Unit: 3713

moving the ball in the field during each of the play; an apparatus for rendering a diagram represent a sport game; user inputting data. See figures 1-6, 9, 11-19, and columns 1-8.

Regarding the limitations of symbols representing all plays at occurred during a half or during an entire game and altering ratio of represented field, those limitations are design choice.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the football game report of Jensen with sports team organizer of Shur et al. to come up with a better computer-implemented system and method of reporting plays throughout a football game.

9. Applicant's arguments filed in Paper No. 13 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding applicant's argument that the limitation of "each of the at least two plays can be distinguished from each other," the reference of Jensen does meet this limitation such as distinguishing between the punt (a play) and other plays within the drive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., showing each distinct individual play with plurality of different symbols) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 3713

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh-An D Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5713. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on 703-308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3580 for regular communications and 703-308-7768 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Binh-An D Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 3713

BN

October 5, 2001

VALENCIA MARTIN-WALLACE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700